## Teadusallikad: RUSTIK & GRANULAR 2024 (+ Maaülikool jt 2025)

Poliitikate/õigusaktide maaeluga seotud mõjude hindamise ja maaeluga arvestamise (*i.k. rural proofing*) uurimiseks ning **ideenoppeks Eesti jaoks**.

**RUSTIK (2023)** Implementing rural proofing in EU countries and beyond: review of instruments and experiences.

## Rural proofing mechanisms and attempts in EU and non-EU countries

Country	Starting year	Thematic focus	Methodologies	Institutional responsibility	Application
Proofing on a	broad range	e of policies			
England	2000	National: Policies having an impact on Infrastructures, services, working and living conditions, environment, equality	Checklist; Decision Tree; Examples of possible assessment; Descriptive assessment of impacts; Annual rural proofing reports	National: <b>DEFRA</b> (Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs) oversees rural proofing across the government; <b>Rural Affairs Board</b> provides strategic guidance; each government Department has nominated 'rural proofing lead'	Mandatory (in principle) with patchy application
Northern Ireland	2015- 2017	All national policy proposals having an impact on the economic, social, cultural and environmental well-being of rural communities	Rural needs impact assessment: coherence of likely impact with social and economic needs of rural areas; annual monitoring reports	National: Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA)	Mandatory (in principle) with patchy application
Canada	1998- 2013	National: Federal policies and programmes from the perspectives of remote and rural regions. Some provinces have published their own Rural Lens and guidelines.	Checklist; Rural Lens: process in 10 stages, including a template to fill, questions to answer and examples to follow. Guidelines for using it provided by the national level.	"Rural Secretariat" within the Department of Agriculture and Agri-Food (founded in 1996)	Voluntary, no sanctions

New Zealand	2008	Policies having impact on infrastructure, health, education, business development and equity	Impact assessment checklist; process in 7 stages; Rural proofing guide;	Ministry for Primary Industries published the guide and checklist. The implementation lies with the authorities responsible for a specific policy.	Voluntary, no sanctions			
Proofing on specific geographical / thematic areas								
Scotland	2020	Policies with specific and differentiated impacts on Islands Communities	Island Communities Impact assessment	Agriculture and Rural Economy Directorate and its Cabinet Secretary for Rural Affairs and Islands	Mandatory (with justification for not doing it) only for policies having effects on Scottish Islands			
Finland	2007	National: Policies having impact on municipal merging, rural livelihoods, expertise, housing and services, accessibility, attractiveness factors and community cohesion. Emphasis ono sparsely depopulated areas.	Checklist, with 6 thematic areas and flexible application	Rural Policy Council (MANE) under the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MAF)	Voluntary, no sanctions. Formalisation into legislation is being discussed in the Parliament.			
Australia	2003	Regional services	Checklist; Regional Impact Assessment Statement (RIAS) Standardized guidelines as a template	Department of Primary Industries and Regions of the Government of South Australia (PIRSA)	Mandatory, for any legislation affecting regional services			
USA	2018	National for drug addiction in rural areas	Rural Community Action Guide with best practices; Federal Rural Resource Guide with key	The Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) of the White House develops Federal	Political rather than a legislative commitment, and it is not mandatory.			

		challenges; Rural Community Toolbox website with all federal fundings and tools to build healthy drug-free rural communities	drug policy and coordinate its implementation across the Federal Government.	
--	--	---	--	--

Lessons learned for RUSTIK experiments. To conclude, rural proofing is strongly focused on policy assessment, whether spatially focused policies or not. This implies the definition of an appropriate list of questions to be explored and, in parallel, specific data concerning potential policy effects upon the concerned <a href="Living Labs">Living Labs</a> of the project. For this, the deliverable lists the questions forming the basis for a place-based analysis depending on the types of policies considered. Moreover, the authors explore the necessary conditions for a successful data collection leading to robust evidence-based territorial policies and applying rural proofing mechanisms. All these elements will be practically explored in coordination with the <a href="RUSTIK Living labs">RUSTIK Living labs</a> from the end of 2024 onwards.

**GRANULAR (2024)** GUIDELINES FOR THE RURAL PROOFING OF TRANSITION POLICIES IN EUROPE. D 5.1

## References

**Atterton, J. (2022).** <u>Analytical overview of rural proofing approaches and lessons learned, ENRD Thematic Group Rural Proofing</u> - Background document, pp7.

Atterton, J., and Korcekova, V. (2022). <u>A Framework of Rural Proofing Actions: ENRD Thematic Group on Rural Proofing Final Output</u>, European Network for Rural Development, pp10.

**Barko, T., Cremers, M. and Renneboog, L. (2022).** Shareholder engagement on environmental, social, and governance performance. Journal of Business Ethics, 180(2), 777-812. Shareholder Engagement on Environmental, Social, and Governance Performance | Journal of Business Ethics (springer.com)

**Böhme, K., Lüer, C. and Holstein, F. (2020)**. From territorial impact assessment to territorial foresight. Territorial impact assessment, 157-176.

**Bryce, B. (2024).** Rural Proofing: Lessons from OECD countries and potential application to health, OECD Regional Development Papers, No. 73, OECD Publishing, Paris.

Chartier, O., Irvine, K., Martino, G., Miller, D., Muro, M., Pagnon, J., Salle, E., Schwarz, G. and Zomer, B. (2023). D7.5 - Second set of recommendations for future rural policies. Report to the European Commission, Deliverable D7.5, H2020 SHERPA, Grant Agreement No. 86244, pp.67. Zenodo.

**Crucitti, F., Lazarou, N-J., Monfort, P. and Salott, S. (2022).** The RHOMOLO impact assessment of the 2014–2020 cohesion policy in the EU regions. JRC Working Paper 4/2022. Publications Office of the European Union, 2022, pp36.

Copus, A., Kahila, P., Fritsch, M., Dax, T., Kovács, K., Tagai, G., Weber, R., Grunfelder, J., Löfving, L., Moodie, J., Ortega-Reig, M., Ferrandis, A., Piras, A. and Meredith, D. (2020). European Shrinking Rural Areas: Challenges, Actions and Perspectives for Territorial Governance (ESCAPE), Final Report, pp64.

Couper, I., Lediga, M., Takalani, N.B., Floss, M., Yeoh, A.E., Ferrara, A., Wheatley, A., Feasby, L., De Oliveira Santana, M.A., Wanjala, M.N., Tukur, M.A., Kotian, S.P., Rasic, V., Shirindza, V., Chater, A.B. and Koller, T.S. (2023). Exploring the ideas of young healthcare professionals from selected countries regarding rural proofing. Rural and Remote Health 2023, 23: 8294.

**Countryside Agency (2002).** Rural proofing – policy maker's checklist. CA35, Countryside Agency, Cheltenham.

**Countryside Agency (2004).** Rural Proofing in 2003/2004: A Report to Government by the Countryside Agency. Wetherby, UK: Countryside Agency Publications, July 2004

Dallhammer, E., Schuh, B., Tordy, J., Camagni, R., Lenzi, C., Waterhout, B., Zonneveld, W., Evers, D. and Tennekes, J. (2011). The TIA quick check: Standard Version, ESPON, pp11.

**Defra (2000).** Rural White Paper, Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, United Kingdom Government.

**Defra (2022).** Rural proofing: Practical guidance to consider the outcomes of policies in rural areas, November 2022, Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, United Kingdom Government, pp19.

**Defra (2024).** Delivering rural opportunity: third report on rural proofing, 18 March 2024, Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, United Kingdom Government.

**Delgado-Viñas, C. and Gómez-Moreno, M.L. (2022).** The interaction between urban and rural areas: An updated paradigmatic, methodological and bibliographic review. Land, 11(8), 1298.

**European Commission (2021a).** Long-term vision for rural areas – Building the future of rural areas together.

European Commission (2021b). Commission Staff Working Document - Better Regulation Guidelines.

European Commission (2022). Communication From the Commission to The European Parliament, The Council, The European Economic and Social Committee and The Committee of The Regions on the European care strategy.

**European Commission (2023a)**. 'Better regulation' Toolbox – July 2023 edition.

**European Commission (2023b).** Proposal for a Directive of The European Parliament and of The Council on Soil Monitoring and Resilience (Soil Monitoring Law).

**European Commission (2024a).** The long-term vision for the EU's rural areas: key achievements and ways forward. Report From the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, pp17.

European Commission (2024b). Impact assessments, last accessed on 23 August 2024

**European Union (2016)**. <u>CORK 2.0 DECLARATION "A Better Life in Rural Areas"</u>, Publications Office of the European Union, 2016, pp12.

**European Parliament, Council of The European Union and European Commission (2016).** 

Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making. Official Journal of the European Union, 12/05/2016 L 123/1, pp20.

Gaugitsch, R., Messinger, I., Neugebauer, W., Schuh, B., Toptsidou, M. and Böhme, K. (2022). Rural proofing – a foresight framework for resilient rural communities. European Committee of the Regions, pp87.

**GRANULAR (2021).** Giving Rural Actors Novel Data And Re-Useable Tools to Lead Public Action in Rural Areas, Grant Agreement 101061068, European Commission.

**Hall, H. and Gibson, R. (2016).** Rural Proofing in Canada: An Examination of the Rural Secretariat and the Rural Lens. Universities of Waterloo and Guelph, August 2016, pp22.

Herbst, M., Pechcińskab, A., Hagemejer, J. and Artymowska, P. (2024). Spa(tia) – a diffusion-oriented method of territorial impact assessment. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal.

Jacobs-Crisioni, C., Diogo, V., Perpiña Castillo, C., Baranzelli, C., Batista e Silva, F., Rosina, K., Kavalov, B. and Lavalle, C. (2017). The LUISA Territorial Reference Scenario 2017: A technical description, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2017, ISBN 978-92-79-73866-1, doi:10.2760/902121, JRC108163

Kull M., Weckroth M., Vihinen H., Hiltunen A., Niskanen L., Ysebaert R., Guérois M., Balagué J., Hopkins J., Miller D., Stjernberg M., Tapia C., Georgieva I., McCallum I., Hofer M., Kurdys-Kujawska, A., Chasset, L., Depontailler L., Voepel, H., Martins, B. Doval Ruiz, M., Jain, P. and Berchoux T. (2023). Benchmarking Data Performance and Costs D3.2. Giving Rural Actors Novel data and re-Useable tools to Lead public Action in Rural areas (GRANULAR), pp79.

Lecca, P., Barbero Jimenez, J., Christensen, M., Conte, A., Di Comite, F., Diaz Lanchas, J., Diukanova, O., Mandras, G., Persyn, D. and Sakkas, S. (2018). RHOMOLO V3: a spatial modelling framework. EUR

29229 EN. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. ISBN 978-92-79-85886-4. doi: 10.2760/671622, JRC111861.

McCallum, I., Hoffer, M., Laso Bayas, J. C., Kull, M., Vihinen, H., Ysebaert, R., Marianne, G., Giraud, T., Viry, M., Lambert, N., Voepel, H., Steele, J., Sorichetta, A., Tapia, C., Cuadrado, A., Miller, D., Hopkins, J., Farinelli, V., Ulman, M., Simek P., Motyckova V., Panoutsopoulos H., Chitos A., Fournarakos A., Zafiraki P. and Berchoux, T. (2023). Screening rural data sources, D3.1, GRANULAR. pp18.

**Ireland Assembly (2009).** Rural Proofing and the Rural Champion, Research and Library Services, Northern Ireland Assembly, pp13.

Mantino, F., Forcina, B., Vironen, H., Fonseca, L. and Kahila, P. (2023). Review of rural proofing instruments and experiences in European and non-European countries, Rural Sustainability Transitions through Integration of Knowledge for improved policy processes (RUSTIK), Deliverable D1.3, pp43.

Marot, N., Fischer, T. B., Sykes, O., Golobič, M., Muthoora, T. and González, A. (2021). Territorial impact assessment: a policy assessment-like strategic environmental assessment in action. In Handbook on Strategic Environmental Assessment (pp. 58-79). Edward Elgar Publishing.

**Medeiros, E. (2020).** TARGET\_TIA: A complete, flexible and sound territorial impact assessment tool. Territorial impact assessment, 9-25.

**OECD (2011)**, <u>OECD Rural Policy Reviews:</u> England, United Kingdom 2011, OECD Rural Policy Reviews, OECD Publishing, Paris.

**Oostindie H., Bock B. and Wiskerke, H. (2023).** Prototype Rural Diversity Compass, Deliverable D2.2, Giving Rural Actors Novel data and re-Useable tools to Lead public Action in Rural areas (GRANULAR), pp42.

**Rouby, A. and Ptak-Bufkens, K. (2022)**, New approaches to rural proofing EU legislation following EU's rural vision. Presentation at Rural proofing for health, OECD Rural development conference, 28 September 2022.

**Rural Health Advocacy Project (2015).** Rural proofing for health: guidelines – a guide to accounting for rural contexts in health policy, strategic planning and resourcing, January 2015, Rural Health Advocacy Project. Available: web link (Accessed 1st August 2024).

**Schwarz, G., Vanni, F., Miller, D., et al. (2022)** Exploring Sustainability Implications of Transitions to Agroecology: A Transdisciplinary Perspective. EuroChoices, 21:37-47. doi.org/10.1111/1746-692X.12377

**Scottish Government (2022).** Scottish Government Urban Rural Classification 2022, Scottish Government, pp28.

**Sherry, E. and Shortall, S. (2020).** The Needy Rural: Does living in a rural area mean that you are in need? In: Eds. G. Halseth, S. Markey, and L. Ryser, Service Provision and Rural Sustainability: Infrastructure and Innovation (Perspectives on Rural Policy and Planning), Routledge, 1st edition (30 June 2020), pp194.

**Shortall, S. and Alston, M. (2016).** To Rural Proof or Not to Rural Proof: A Comparative Analysis. Politics and Policy, 44: 35-55. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12144">https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12144</a>

Vitálišová, K., Sýkorová, K., Koróny, S. and Rojíková, D. (2022). Benefits and Obstacles of Smart Governance in Cities. In: Paiva, S., et al. Science and Technologies for Smart Cities. SmartCity 360 2021. Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering, vol 442. Springer, Cham. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06371-8\_24">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06371-8\_24</a>

Welsh Government (2015). Rural Proofing Checklist, Welsh Government, pp35.