

# Ethical principles in unproven methods - is there a need for regulation?

Ingemar Engström

Expert member Swedish Council on Medical Ethics

Former chair Delegation for Medical Ethics  
Swedish Society of Medicine

Member Nordic Committee on Bioethics

# Conflict of interest

Cancer x 3 (including pancreatic cancer)

Offered allogeneic stem cell transplantation

# 3 in the world

First-hand experience

serious illness

decision on very limited data



## Paolo Macchiarini

Karolinska Institute and Karolinska Hospital  
transplantations with synthetic tracheas  
seeded with stem cells  
massive scientific and public attention  
2015-2016

*Joint report*  
*The Royal Swedish Academy of Science*  
*Swedish Society of Medicine*  
*June 2016*

Clinical guidelines for the use of  
unproven methods in the treatment  
of seriously ill patients

*Report*  
*The Swedish National Council on*  
*Medical Ethics*  
*November 2016*

Ethical assessments at the border  
between health and medical care  
and research

## Points of departure

- Research is regulated through the Act on Ethical Vetting (EPL)
- Health care is regulated through the Health and Medical Services Act (HSL)
- There is no "grey zone" in between these two legislations

## Key concept: VBE

- Vetenskap och beprövad erfarenhet
- Science and proven experience
- Legal foundation for every action taken in Swedish health care  
(Patient Act 2014:821; Patient Safety Act 2010:659)

## Smer's position

- Principle rule: Unproven methods should be developed and implemented as research projects
- Unproven methods may, however, be offered outside a research project under some strictly defined preconditions
- The **aim** should only be to help an individual patient
- The Helsinki declaration article 37 is highly problematic with no requirement for an independent ethical review. The declaration needs to be read as a whole document.



# Medical obligations

- Health care based on science and proven experience
- Clinical research
- Extraordinary situations with a single, critically ill patient where everything else already has been tried
- Should there be a possibility to use unproven methods?

## Primary ethical questions

- Should it be permissible at all to use new and untested methods outside research projects?
- If so, does a special ethical assessment need to be carried out in these cases ?
- How should the risk-benefit assessment be done before using the unproven method?
- How can informed consent be obtained from the patient?

# Unproven methods

- Methods used in other patient groups
- Methods used on other indications
- Methods in a developing phase
- The aim is to help a seriously ill patient where every other treatment is tried and no other treatment is available

## Preconditions - general

- Exceptional cases for single patients
- Severe suffering or extensive impairment of quality of life
- Effective conventional methods lacking or conventional treatment options depleted
- Potentially effective methods based on theoretical scientific reasoning
- Animal studies and/or human studies (e.g. in other disorders)
- Expected benefits in reasonable proportion to possible risks

## Preconditions - practical

- Informed consent
- Decision by the head of the department
- Written plan
- External ethical assessment
  - ethical, legal and medical expertise
- All results reported nationally

## Acute or subacute situations

- Consultation with at least one colleague with adequate competence in the area
- Written plan before acting
- Focus on the reasoning behind the decision
- Decision by the head of the department or his/her deputy
- Information to the specific committee

## Relation to research

- If the treatment is planned to be used on several patients, this has to be done within the format of a clinical study and should then be performed as regular clinical research

## Ongoing discussion

- Governmental commission in dec 2017 suggested that everything which is done in hospitals lacking "science and proven experience" has to be research
- Accordingly; an ethical decision is needed
- If acute; ethical permission can be sought retroactive
- Strong critique
- The question has not moved forward yet